

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

DANIEL HEALING,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:07-1751-HFF-WMC
	§
STEVEN G. DEMARCO,	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This is a civil rights action filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that this case be dismissed, *without prejudice*, and without issuance and service of process. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 11, 2007. Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report.* In the absence of objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that this case be **DISMISSED**, *without prejudice*, and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 2nd day of August, 2007, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd HENRY F. FLOYD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within sixty (60) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

^{*}The Court notes that Plaintiff is likely unaware of the Report because, on July 24, 2007, Plaintiff's copy of the Report was returned to the Clerk of Court, marked "RETURN TO SENDER [,] INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS [,] UNABLE TO FORWARD." In light of the record in this case, however, and Plaintiff's failure to keep the Court apprised of his current mailing address, the Court has no option but to dismiss the action.